ACADEMIC SENATE OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY

AS-3314-18/FGA January 25, 2018 First Reading/Waiver

RESOLUTION OPPOSING THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSAL FOR A STATE-MANDATED ONLINE LEARNING LAB

1	1.	RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate of the California State University (ASCSU) stand
2		in opposition to the Governor's proposal for a state-run higher education Online Learning
3		lab, with respect to both its control of curriculum and delivery mode of that curriculum; and
4		be it further
5	2.	RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate of the California State University (ASCSU)
6		recommend a review, and analysis, in conjunction with Chancellor's Office of Academic
7		Technology, of the intended and unintended consequences of the structure and functions of
8		the proposed online learning lab; and be it further
9	3.	RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate of the California State University (ASCSU)
10		recommend that such analysis be brought to the attention of the Governor's office and the
11		Higher Education analyst at the Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO), and be discussed with
12		the Chairs of the State Legislative Committees on Higher Education; and be it further
13	4.	RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate of the California State University (ASCSU)
14		recommend a further evaluation, in conjunction with Chancellor's Office of Academic
15		Technology, of the efficacy of online instruction in general pursuant to resolution AS-3250-
16		16/FGA (Rev) and to make these data available to faculty, administrators, and Legislators to
17		assess the desirability of establishing a) requirements for the training of faculty to teach

- alternative types of fully online courses, and b) protocols for offering face-to-face or hybrid equivalent classes for each fully online course offered; and be it further
- 5. RESOLVED: That the ASCSU distribute this resolution to the CSU:
- Board of Trustees,
- Chancellor,
- Chancellor's Cabinet,
- Campus Presidents,
- Campus Chief Information Officers,
- Campus Senate Executive Committees, and the
- CSU Provosts/Vice Presidents of Academic Affairs,
- **RATIONALE**: Structurally, the Governor's proposal fails as it is redundant and 28 unnecessary. His goal to improve and facilitate online instruction at the CSU is currently 29 30 being met in multiple ways. First, the CSU is already recognized nationally as one of the 31 most supportive university systems in facilitating online instruction. Second, the 32 Governor's reference to Open Educational Resources (OER) is already mandated and 33 funded under AB 798, with the CSU as the fiscal agent for this effort. Third, the Office of 34 Planning and Research is not the appropriate department to house such a facility, as it is not 35 under or directly related to higher education's administrative umbrella. What the Governor 36 and Legislature need to understand is that each segment of higher education in California is 37 administratively structured both as a centralize and distributed system of independent campuses; centralized for the purposes of allocating and controlling resources and goals, but 38 39 decentralized for the purpose of effecting enrollment, curriculum, personnel matters and

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

student success. Superimposing a model, however well intended, by creating a bureaucracy in an unrelated department of state government, to plan and control the content and delivery of higher education curricula, is as inefficient and costly as it is unmanageable. The Governor's proposal fails in its intended functions, as well. First, higher education in California is a multi-tier model. What functions as online instruction for the UC student may be very different from what addresses the CSU student, which in turn is considerably different from what is best suited for the CCC. Even assuming that all curricula are universally equivalent across educational segments (which they are not), it cannot be assumed that all students learn in the same manner in these differing venues. Imposing a universal model, such as "learning science," is both a spurious exercise and a dangerous assumption. Taken together, the counter-arguments to both the structure and function of the Governor's proposal suggest that differences (inter-system, inter-campus, and intra-campus) need to be recognized in curriculum content, faculty delivery, and student learning and that the "one size fits all" model of online learning suggested by the Governor's proposal, flies in the face of accumulated, data-driven evidence to the contrary.